

# **RatingsDirect**®

# Banco Agropecuario S.A

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Cynthia Cohen Freue, Buenos Aires 5411 48912161; cynthia.cohenfreue@standardandpoors.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Ivana L Recalde, Buenos Aires (54) 114-891-2127; ivana.recalde@standardandpoors.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

**Major Rating Factors** 

Outlook

Rationale

Related Criteria And Research

# Banco Agropecuario S.A

SACP	bb+	,	+	Support	+1	+	Additional Factors 0
Anchor  Business Position	bbb- Moderate	-1		GRE Support	+1		Issuer Credit Rating
Capital and Earnings	Very Strong			Group Support	0		
Risk Position Funding	Weak Average	-2					BBB-/Negative/
Liquidity	Adequate	0		Sovereign Support			

## **Major Rating Factors**

Strengths:	Weaknesses:
<ul> <li>Support from its majority shareholder, the Republic of Peru;</li> <li>Very strong capital position; and</li> <li>Leading position in the agricultural lending market with little competition from large banks, which do not offer specialized lending to the sector.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Concentrated business position; and</li> <li>Focus on the cyclical, thus somewhat risky, agribusiness sector.</li> </ul>

#### Outlook

The negative outlook reflects our belief that we could revise our assessment of the bank's capital position to "strong" from "very strong" as a consequence of lending growth and the higher economic risk score in the BICRA on Peru, which has resulted in higher risk weights for Peruvian banks.

#### Downside scenario

We could downgrade the bank if we project a risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio below 15%. This could occur if we were to downgrade the economic risk score in the BICRA--which already has a negative trend--to '7' or if the bank does not receive capital injections from the government that would allow it to maintain very strong capital ratios.

### Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook to stable if we revise the economic risk trend to stable, and the bank receives a capital injection sufficient to maintain its RAC ratio well above 15%.

#### Rationale

The ratings on Agrobanco reflect its "moderate" business and risk positions, "very strong" capital and earnings, "average" funding and "adequate" liquidity (as our criteria define these terms). The ratings also reflect our view of a "moderately high" likelihood that the Republic of Peru would provide extraordinary and timely support to the bank in the event of financial distress, in accordance with our criteria for government-related entities.

#### Anchor: bbb-' for commercial banks operating in Peru

Our bank criteria use our BICRA economic risk and industry risk scores to determine a bank's anchor, the starting point in assigning an issuer credit rating. Our anchor for a commercial bank operating only in Peru is 'bbb-'.

We believe that economic risk for banks operating in Peru has increased. Economic growth has slowed down significantly since 2014 and we have revised our assessment of Peru's growth prospects. We expect growth to average 3.7% per year from 2015 to 2018, 2.8% in per capita terms, which will somewhat hinder Peru's ability to catch up to its more developed peers in the medium term. Nevertheless, we hold that a strong fiscal position, prudent monetary policies, and a credible and effective Central Bank provide the country with enough flexibility to withstand present and future external shocks. Credit growth rates have fallen since 2014, but credit growth continues to add above 2 percentage points (pps) to leverage (credit to GDP) per year, which increases the risk of economic imbalances. Although credit and real estate prices have been growing steadily, Peru does not have a real estate bubble, in our view. Real estate price growth has been framed by solid economic growth, an increase in household income, and a growing middle class. We have observed a rapid alignment of real estate prices growth with slower economic growth since 2014.

Low income levels, poor debt capacity, and still-high dollarization, especially in the banking system, increase credit risk in the economy. However, we believe that the authorities' strengthened commitment to more drastic policies as a means of reducing dollarization in the system could result in lower credit risk in the medium term.

We consider industry risk for banks operating in Peru to be, in general, lower than its peers'. We believe that Peru has a sound regulatory framework, with ample supervisory coverage, and periodic risk-based supervision under a highly professional regulator. Both the Peruvian Central Bank (BCRP) and

Superintendencia de Banca y Seguros (SBS, which regulates banks and insurance companies) are very active; in the past two years, authorities have introduced several prudent measures to ensure financial stability at the macro level amid a weakened economy with growing external risk. The financial system has registered strong profitability and adequate levels of capitalization in the past five years, and there are no significant market distortions that could alter the system's competitive dynamics in the near term. We also believe the country's financial system has a healthy and diversified funding mix through deposits, domestic market debt, and external debt (banks and markets), as well as strong government support to provide liquidity if needed.

Table 1

Banco Agropecuario S.A Key Figures									
			Year-ended Dec. 31						
(Mil. PEN)	2015*	2014	2013	2012	2011				
Adjusted assets	2,067,358.0	1,893,930.0	942,212.0	438,757.0	321,389.0				
Customer loans (gross)	1,463,356.0	1,446,028.0	900,564.0	433,491.0	291,345.0				
Adjusted common equity	441,491.0	433,391.0	423,314.0	351,048.0	280,023.0				
Operating revenues	69,365.0	115,845.0	97,699.0	64,154.0	52,440.0				
Noninterest expenses	36,546.0	74,187.0	48,002.0	31,632.0	27,286.0				
Core earnings	8,100.0	10,077.0	22,756.0	21,025.0	8,729.0				

<sup>\*</sup>Data as of June 30.

#### Business position: Focus on a cyclical agricultural sector exposed to weather conditions

We consider Agrobanco's business position to be "moderate" because, despite its limited scale and business diversification, it has significantly increased its penetration in the agricultural sector over the last three years and it is now the leading bank in the agricultural lending market. Moreover, it has little competition from large banks because they don't offer specialized lending to the sector, which further strengthens its business stability. However, we continue to view its business profile as highly concentrated compared to universal multipurpose banks operating in Peru. Further, it focuses on a cyclical sector that is exposed to weather conditions. With total assets of about Peruvian nuevo sol (PEN) 2.129 billion as of July 2015, Agrobanco is the fourth-largest government-owned bank in Peru; it holds a 6.5% market share in terms of total loans among public banks, and 0.7% among all financial institutions. As of April 2015, the bank held a market share of about 16.2% in the agribusiness lending sector. The bank also lends and administers resources that the Ministry of Agriculture funds (the AgroPeru fund). Taking this fund into account, we estimate that the bank has a 21% market share in agribusiness lending. Agrobanco's main role is to support the agribusiness sector in all segments and across all regions. In our view, the bank benefits from little competition because other banks don't offer specialized products and long-term funding for the agricultural sector. Its employees have specialized knowledge of both the banking and the agricultural lending sector. Moreover, the bank has developed new products--that are not offered by traditional banks--that cater specifically to the sector's needs.

Furthermore, in our view, Agrobanco's management has adequate knowledge of the sector and has developed a well-defined business strategy.

Table 2

Banco Agropecuario S.A Business Position							
		Year-ended Dec. 31					
(%)	2015*	2014	2013	2012	2011		
Return on equity	3.7	2.4	5.9	6.7	3.2		
*Data as of June 30.							

#### Capital and earnings: Very strong projected RAC ratio

We view Agrobanco's capital and earnings as "very strong," based on our projected RAC ratio before diversification of about 16% for the next 18 months. Our base-case scenario incorporates the impact of the recent BICRA downgrade to

PEN-Peruvian nuevo sol.

'5' from '4' and the increase in the economic risk score to '6' from '5'. The higher economic risk score, which calibrates the risk weights for our RAC framework, has led to higher risk charges, and therefore lower RAC ratios for banks operating in Peru. Our forecast also incorporates our expectation for loan growth of about 25% in 2015 and 23% in 2016, alongside slightly improving net interest margins (NIMs) (due to the bank's efforts to secure more-economical funding), increasing loan loss provisions (from softer economic growth and the upcoming "El Niño" event, which will cause heavy rains in Peru), no dividend payouts, and a potential capital injection from the government (to maintain very comfortable regulatory capital requirements). We assess Agrobanco's quality of capital as adequate because it doesn't have any hybrid instruments. However, its quality of earnings could be vulnerable to the cyclical nature of agribusiness. Finally, if the economic risk score on Peru weakens to '7' or if the bank does not receive a capital injection from FONAFE that fulfills the requirements for high equity content under our hybrid criteria, we could revise the capital and earnings assessment to "strong". Because of these factors, the bank has a negative outlook.

Table 3

	-	Year-ended Dec. 31			
(%)	2015*	2014	2013	2012	2011
Adjusted common equity/total adjusted capital	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Net interest income/operating revenues	92.6	97.2	88.7	84.3	83.2
Fee income/operating revenues	2.4	1.7	2.8	6.8	7.4
Noninterest expenses/operating revenues	52.7	64.0	49.1	49.3	52.0
Preprovision operating income/average assets	3.3	2.9	7.2	8.6	7.7
Core earnings/average managed assets	0.8	0.7	3.3	5.5	2.7

#### Risk position: Recent significant growth could expose the bank to additional risks

We base our assessment of Agrobanco's "weak" risk position on the cyclicality of agribusiness, the bank's concentrated loan portfolio, and its significant growth in the past three years--all of which could constitute additional risk exposures, particularly because of the bank's relatively short track record.

However, the bank has satisfactory underwriting standards and adequate knowledge of the sector, in our view. We also believe the bank has good geographic and subsector diversity, and manageable single-name exposures. Agrobanco's nonperforming loan (NPL) to customer loan ratio was 2.6% as of June 2015 (the industry average was 2.7%) and its net charge-offs to average customer loans ratio was 0.3% as of December 2014. Its loan loss reserves to nonperforming assets (NPAs) ratio was comfortable--at 175% as of June 2015.

Our risk position assessment further captures our expectation that the "El Niño" event will likely hurt the bank's overall results.

Table 4

Banco Agropecuario S.A Risk Position					
		Ye	ar-ende	d Dec. 3	31
(%)	2015*	2014	2013	2012	2011
Growth in customer loans	2.4	60.6	107.7	48.8	(2.7)

Table 4

Banco Agropecuario S.A Risk Position (cont.)					
Total managed assets/adjusted common equity (x)	4.7	4.4	2.2	1.2	1.1
New loan loss provisions/average customer loans	2.5	2.3	1.6	1.8	4.3
Net charge-offs/average customer loans	N.M.	0.3	0.6	8.0	2.3
Gross nonperforming assets/customer loans + other real estate owned	2.6	2.0	1.5	2.5	3.8
Loan loss reserves/gross nonperforming assets	175.1	175.1	199.8	200.4	164.2
*Data as of June 30.					

### Funding and liquidity: Average stable funding ratio (SFR) and "adequate" liquidity

We view Agrobanco's funding as "average". The bank continues to diversify its funding sources with long-term and more economical funding lines. Its stable funding ratio (SFR) stood at 94.9% as of June 2015, with a three-year average of 88.35%, but we expect this ratio to improve as the bank continues to work on lengthening its funding sources and secures approval to capture deposits (they have branches in locations where no other bank has, which should support deposit growth very shortly thereafter).

Agrobanco's liquidity remains "adequate" in our view. Its broad liquid assets to short-term wholesale funding ratio was 0.9x as of June 2015. This ratio temporarily weakened in December 2013, when the bank took on a particular short-term line until a long-term funding facility was available. The bank has since improved this ratio and we expect it to remain at acceptable levels.

Table 5

Banco Agropecuario S.A Funding And Liquidity								
		Y	•					
(%)	2015*	2014	2013	2012	2011			
Long term funding ratio	77.2	66.7	86.3	98.4	93.7			
Stable funding ratio	94.9	84.7	86.3	94.0	97.1			
Short-term wholesale funding/funding base	29.1	43.3	25.5	11.0	97.6			
Broad liquid assets/short-term wholesale funding (x)	0.9	0.7	0.2	1.1	1.7			
Short-term wholesale funding/total wholesale funding	29.1	43.3	25.5	11.0	97.6			
*Data as of June 30.								

#### Support: "Moderately high likelihood" of support from the Republic of Peru

The ratings on Agrobanco reflect its SACP and our view of a "moderately high" likelihood of government support, according to our criteria for government-related entities.

Our view of the likelihood of support is based on Agrobanco's:

- "Limited important" role for the Republic of Peru (local currency: A-/Stable/A-2; foreign currency: BBB+/Stable/A-2). Agrobanco is a development bank focused on the agricultural sector. Although we believe its role is increasing in importance, the bank's track record is short. Because of its still small share of the banking sector and limited history, we believe that a stress or default scenario would not significantly affect the local economy.
- "Very strong" link to the government, which owns 100% of Agrobanco through El Fondo Nacional de Financiamiento de la Actividad Empresarial del Estado (FONAFE), a government-controlled entity that regulates and directs the government's corporate activities and equity investments. We have changed our view of the bank's

link to the government to "very strong" from "strong" because we expect FONAFE to remain the bank's majority owner and to provide support through capital injections if required. Our assessment also reflects the government's extended track record of support to the bank.

• The bank's SACP is based on its "moderate" business position, "very strong" capital and earnings, "weak" risk position, "average" funding, and "adequate" liquidity (as defined by our criteria).

#### **Related Criteria And Research**

#### **Related Criteria**

- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Bank Capital Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 6, 2010
- Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Anchor	Matrix									
Industry		Economic Risk								
Risk	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	a	a	a-	bbb+	bbb+	bbb	-	-	1	-
2	a	a-	a-	bbb+	bbb	bbb	bbb-	-	-	-
3	a-	a-	bbb+	bbb+	bbb	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	-	-
4	bbb+	bbb+	bbb+	bbb	bbb	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb	-
5	bbb+	bbb	bbb	bbb	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb-	b+
6	bbb	bbb	bbb-	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb	bb-	b+
7	1	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb+	bb	bb	bb-	b+	b+
8	1	1	bb+	bb	bb	bb	bb-	bb-	b+	b
9	-	-	-	bb	bb-	bb-	b+	b+	b+	b
10	-	-	-	-	b+	b+	b+	b	b	b-

Ratings Detail (As Of October 22, 2015)	
Banco Agropecuario S.A	
Counterparty Credit Rating	BBB-/Negative/
<b>Counterparty Credit Ratings History</b>	
29-Sep-2015	BBB-/Negative/
08-Sep-2015	BBB-/Watch Neg/
29-Oct-2014	BBB-/Negative/
22-Oct-2013	BBB-/Stable/
Sovereign Rating	
Peru (Republic of)	
Foreign Currency	BBB+/Stable/A-2
Local Currency	A-/Stable/A-2

#### Ratings Detail (As Of October 22, 2015) (cont.)

#### **Related Entities**

#### Corporacion Financiera de Desarrollo S.A.

Issuer Credit Rating BBB+/Stable/A-2

Senior Unsecured BBB+
Subordinated BBB-

Fondo Mivivienda S.A.

Issuer Credit Rating BBB+/Stable/--

Senior Unsecured BBB+

Peru (Republic of)

Issuer Credit Rating

Foreign Currency BBB+/Stable/A-2
Local Currency A-/Stable/A-2

Certificate Of Deposit

Local Currency A-2
Senior Unsecured ASenior Unsecured BBB+

<sup>\*</sup>Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country. Issue and debt ratings could include debt guaranteed by another entity, and rated debt that an entity guarantees.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.